

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED | INVENTOR | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 09/635,798 | 08/11/00 | VAN DOORN | | A | 142-327P |
| - 002292 | | MM91/0925 | \neg | EXAMINER NGUYEN, T | |
| BIRCH STEWAR PO BOX 747 | RT KOLASCH : | | | | |
| FALLS CHURCH | 1 VA 22040- | 0747 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2861 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: | : 09/25/01 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 09/635,798 | VAN DOORN ET AL. | | | | | | |
| S Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | Thinh H Nguyen | 2861 | | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply | ars on the cover s | heet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u></u> • | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi | s action is non-fin | al. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to | by the Examiner | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 | U.S.C. δ 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: | | | | | | | | |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | | | | | | |
| , /.c.modgomont to made of a claim for domestic priority under 55 0.5.0. § 115(e). | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 19) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152) 19 Other: | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/635,798

`Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 250 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 250 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term "lest" (line
- 3) should have been "least". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

'Art Unit: 2861

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art to Hackleman (hereinafter referred as APA) in view of Hasumi. (JP 60-104335)

APA substantially disclosed the instant claimed subject matter except for printhead is displaced a distance equal to the width of one pixel row.

Hasumi (fig.1-4, page 6) suggests supplementary printing in the case the discharge abnormality is detected. The reserve nozzle array of the printhead displaced only by a nozzle pitch (width of the pixel row) so that the change in the image quality is almost none. Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the technique of Hasumi in APA for the purpose of preventing interruption of recording while maintaining the image quality.

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-7487.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Thinh Nguyen

September 19, 2001

Thinh Nguyen **Primary Examiner** Technology Center 2800